PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

RFP# 2023-042

Date Submitted:	November 1, 2023		Procurem
Submitted by:	Brian Davis		Length of
Supplier Name:	BM&K, PC		Source Se
Description:	Design Services		Fair Price
Agreement Type:	PSA - Standard		Not Low E
Contract Number:	042-2023-00		County Bu
Contract Category:	Architectural Services		Submissio
Contract Term:	Upon Completion of all Work		
Contract Value:	\$	95,500.00	Weight
Contract Options/Renewals:	None		40%
Source of Funds:	SPLOST		35%
Debarred/Suspended:	No (screen-print on file)		25%
SAM Requirement:	Registration Not Required		
BOC Meeting Prepared for:	November 7, 2023		

Procurement Process:	Request for Proposals (RFP)						
Length of Advertising:	Standard (15+) days						
Source Selection Method:	Highest Proposal Scoring						
Fair Price Determination:	Adequate Price Competition						
Not Low Bid Award:	ard: N/A - Lowest Evaluated Price Awarded						
County Business:	No Identified County Businesses						
Submissions Received:	4 Vendors Contacted: 47						
RFP/RFQ Selection Criteria Used in Selection Process							

Weight	Evaluation Criteria
40%	Project Understanding, Schedule and Scope of Work
35%	Similar Project Experience and Key Staff Capabilities
25%	Price

Bidder/Proposing Business	Place of Business	Eva	Evaluated Price		
вм&к, РС	Braselton, GA.	\$	95,500.00	73.55	
Croy Engineering, LLC	Marietta, GA.	\$	220,000.00	65.45	
Keck & Wood, Inc.	Duluth, GA.	\$	240,500.00	71.93	
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Alpharetta, GA.	\$	180,742.00	67.76	
,			200)2.00		

*Important Price Evaluation Notes

Cherokee County Procurement published RFP 2023-042 to 47 Firms of which 4 submissions were received. Each submittal was reviewed by evaluators from the Community Development Agency. The responsive and responsible highest scoring Firm who was also the low bid was BM&K, PC. It is the recommendation evaluation committee to award the project to BM&K, PC.

Other	Important	Considerations	5

	Average of Scores									
Area of Evaluation	BM&K, PC	Croy		NV5 Engineers						
Project Understanding, Schedule and Scop	e of Work									
	25.45	28.35	35.75	28.30						
Similar Project Experience and Key Staff Capabilities 23.10 26.25 26.25										
Total Technical Price Score	48.55 25.00	54.60 10.85	62.00 9.93	54.55 13.21						
Price + Technical	73.55	65.45	71.93	67.76						
Companies in order of scoring:	1	4	2	3						
Company Name:	вм&к, рс	Croy	Keck & Wood	NV5 Engineers						

PROPORTIONAL DIFFERENCE TO LOW BID

When purchasing solutions or services where there are a number of possible ways to create acceptable results, there is an expectation that there will be a wide variety of acceptable prices as well. In this situation price scoring still needs to provide proportional outputs but on a less aggressive scale. Here the appropriate method utilizes the lowest acceptable bid as the basis for each other price to be compared and then multiplied by the points available.

Points Available		BM&K, PC	M&K, PC		Croy Keck & Woo		NV5 Engineers		5		6		7					
25			\$ 95,500.00	\$	220,000.00	\$	240,500.00	\$	180,742.00	\$	-	\$		-	\$		-	
Low Bi	id:	\$	95,500	Under Average						Under Average								
Percentage of Points Earned		100%		43%		40%		53%										
Number of Points Earned		25		11		10		13										
Lo	ow Bid		High Bid	Average		Range		# of Bids	#	# Under Average		Standand Dev.	F	Process Sav	ings			
\$	95,500	\$	240,500	\$ 184,186	\$	145,000		4		2		89,380	\$;	38,686			